Modeling loop performance

Loop transformations

- Many kinds of loop transformations
 - Loop permutation/interchange
 - Loop blocking/tiling
 - Loop reversal
 - Loop fusion
- Want to understand the effects of these transformations
 - How does a transformation impact performance?
 - Can we predict this impact?
- Focus on a case study: matrix-matrix multiply and loop interchange

Matrix-matrix multiply

- Key kernel in linear algebra
- How much data? How much computation?
 - Significant data reuse
- Important factor in performance: miss ratio
 - Does miss ratio depend on problem size?
 - Interesting fact: can execute loops in any order
 - Does miss ratio depend on loop order?
- Can we predict miss ratio?

for
$$i \in [0:1:N-1]$$

for $j \in [0:1:M-1]$
for $k \in [0:1:K-1]$
 $C_{ij} = C_{ij} + A_{ik} * B_{kj}$











Explaining miss ratios

- When matrices are small, everything fits in cache
 - Only get cold misses, no capacity misses
 - Misses: 3N²/b, accesses: 4N³ (why?)
 - Miss rate: 3/(4bN)
 - Miss rate goes down as problem size goes up!
- How long does this happen?
 - Naive guess: happens as long as all three matrices fit in cache (N \leq sqrt(C/3))
 - On Itanium: should happen when $N \le 104$

Miss-rate regimes

- When a matrix fits entirely in cache, it experiences temporal and spatial locality
 - Misses: N²/b
- If a matrix is being walked in row-major order, it may experience spatial locality, but not temporal locality
 - Only get a miss I out of b accesses
 - Misses: N³/b
- Other times, a matrix experiences no locality
 - Every access misses
 - Misses: N³
- (What about a matrix that only experiences temporal locality?)

Predicting miss rates

- To predict a miss rate, we need to determine, for each matrix:
 - Whether it experiences no locality, spatial locality, or both spatial and temporal locality
 - At which point the matrix transitions between the various regimes

Stack distance

- Introduced by Mattson et al. in 1970
- The stack distance of a memory location is the number of distinct cache lines touched between successive accesses to that location
 - Called stack distance because it can be calculated with a reuse stack
 - Also called reuse distance

Stack distance

- We introduce two types of stack distance:
 - d_t(M): the stack distance between successive accesses to a given element of M
 - d_s(M): the minimum stack distance between successive accesses to distinct elements of M that lay on the same cache line
- If $C < b^* d_t(M)$, matrix does not have temporal locality
 - By the time we touch the same element again, we've brought in too many other elements into cache
- If $C < b^*d_s(M)$, matrix does not have spatial locality

Computing d_t and d_s

- A is walked in row major order in inner loop
 - $d_s(A) = 3 \text{ (why?)}$
 - Note: d_s is not dependent on N \rightarrow A always has spatial locality
- How many iterations does it take to return to the same element of A?
- What is $d_t(A)$?

for
$$i \in [0:1:N-1]$$

for $j \in [0:1:M-1]$
for $k \in [0:1:K-1]$
 $C_{ij} = C_{ij} + A_{ik} * B_{kj}$





k innor



60

Miss rates for B and C



• What is $d_t(B)$?

• What is $d_s(B)$?

• What about for C?





k innor



Putting it all together

$$miss_{ijk,A}(N,b,C) = \begin{cases} N^2/b & bN+N \leq C\\ N^3/b & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$miss_{ijk,B}(N,b,C) = \begin{cases} N^2/b & | (N^2 + 2N) \leq C \\ N^3/b & | bN + N \leq C \\ N^3 & | otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$miss_{ijk,C}(N,b,C) = N^2/b$$

Putting it all together

$$miss_{ijk}(N, b, C) = \begin{cases} 3N^2/b & | (N^2 + 2N) \leq C \\ N^3/b + 2N^2/b & | bN + N \leq C \\ (b+1)N^3/b & | otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$ratio_{ijk}(N, b, C) = \begin{cases} 3/(4bN) & N \leq \sqrt{(C)} \\ 1/(4b) & N \leq C/(b+1) \\ (b+1)/(4b) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Miss ratios for other orders

$$ratio_{ijk}(N, b, C) = \begin{cases} 3/(4bN) & N \leq \sqrt{(C)} \\ 1/(4b) & N \leq C/(b+1) \\ (b+1)/(4b) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$ratio_{jki}(N, b, C) = \begin{cases} 3/(4bN) & N & \sqrt{(C)} \\ 1/(4b) & N & C/(2b) \\ 1/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$ratio_{kij}(N, b, C) = \begin{cases} 3/(4bN) & N \leq \sqrt{(C)} \\ 1/(4b) & N \leq C/2 \\ 1/(2b) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Performance regimes

- *Large-cache* regime
 - All matrices exhibit temporal and spatial locality
 - Miss rate decreases as matrix size gets larger
 - For all orders, occurs until $N \ge sqrt(C)$
- *Medium-cache* regime
 - One matrix starts incurring capacity misses
 - Others still enjoy locality
- Small-cache regime
 - Two matrices start suffering capacity misses

Predicting performance

- Itanium 2 architecture:
 - Line size: 16 doubles
 - Cache size (L2): 32K doubles

$$ratio_{ijk}(N, b, C) = \begin{cases} 3/(4bN) & N \leq \sqrt{(C)} \\ 1/(4b) & N \leq C/(b+1) \\ (b+1)/(4b) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Predicted miss rates:
 - decrease while $N \leq 181$
 - 1.5625% while $N \le 1927$
 - 26.5625% afterwards





Tuesday, February 2, 2010

Loop permutation

- Loop permutation clearly an important transformation
 - Can lead to massive performance improvements
- How do we determine when loop permutation is legal?
- How do we automatically generate permuted code?
 - Straightforward for some loops (like MMM)
 - Much harder for other loops
- How do we know if loop permutation will be useful?
 - Don't want to change *ikj* loop into *jki* loop!
- Are there other transformations we might want to perform?
- The next set of lectures will answer these questions